

Serial No. 09/941,274  
Bondy et al  
Case No. CE08591R

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
JUL 10 2006

### REMARKS

Reconsideration of the above-referenced application is respectively requested in view of these remarks. Claims 1-30 are currently pending.

According to the Office Action, claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by United States Patent No. 6,181,935 to Grossman et al. Applicants have carefully reviewed the cited reference and the pending claims and believe that Grossman does not anticipate the present invention. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection. Applicants rely on their previous characterization of the present invention and incorporate the comments made in the previous submissions to the United States Patent and Trademark Office herein.

It is respectfully submitted that Grossman does not disclose translating the mobile feature, which is a feature found on a mobile device or made available in a wireless communication network, into information readable by a land line element, transmitting the translated mobile feature information to the land line element via an interface and effectively implementing the mobile feature by implementing the land line feature in the land line element using the translated mobile feature information. In particular, Grossman discloses translating land line features for use by a mobile device and on a wireless communication network. It does not disclose the opposite arrangement, i.e. translating mobile features for use of a land line device.

Grossman is directed to "a mobility extended telecommunications application and method of use which comprises an integrated wireless and wirelined network with central control and which has a programmed interface to translate between the different protocols of the wireless and the wirelined networks to allow for customized services to be furnished to said wireless network without the necessity of expensive switching and data handling devices." See Abstract. As specifically quoted above, Grossman is directed to allow customized services to be furnished to wireless networks. The present invention as seen in the claims, is directed to providing services available on the wireless network that are not otherwise available on a wired network to the wired network.

The sections of Grossman cited in the Office Action are consistent with the characterization made in the Abstract. The Office Action points to IS-41 commands and the translation of the TAPI as Grossman's disclosure of the present invention such as

Serial No. 09/941,274  
Bondy et al  
Case No. CE08591R

discussed at column 10, lines 26-58. This section discusses how Grossman can translate land line features into wireless features. Specifically, Grossman states "the SP process (11), using the subject invention, translates TAPI into messages that are recognizable to the cellular network, in this example IS-41 commands, which are then transmitted to and from the SCP (2) via an X.25, TCP/IP or other private or public data link." This focus on the translation of land line features and messages into messages for the wireless communication network continues throughout Grossman and is inconsistent with the present invention. See, e.g. column 11, lines 23-34 ("Applications (1) executing on the LAN use an application programming interface (API) and in this example Microsoft's TAPI, which connects to the telephony dynamic link library and then to the telephone service provider interface TSPI (4). The subjection invention, MOE (5) maps the calls from the TAPI/TSPI into the IS-41 messages. The messages are then carried over a communications layer to the SCP. Items 8, 9, 10 and 11 are internal to the SCP. *As this invention relies solely on converting the LAN based TAPI into IS-041*, the sub-systems internal to the SCP are not material and are shown here for example only." (Emphasis added) and column 12, lines 3-5 ("This application is the part of the subjection invention that determines call states of stations on the PBX (12) and relates them to the status of the mobile station.")

In fact, it is respectfully submitted that Grossman teaches away from the present invention. Table 1 indicates the translation of messages. Throughout the column for SP required support it states that the SP will not launch a message and the SP is to return an error. Applicants respectfully contend that according to Table 1 Grossman does not provide any mechanism that allows a mobile feature not otherwise available on a land line device to be able to be translated for use on the land line. In fact, Table 1 states that mobile features will not be launched, that error messages are sent and that operations are not supported.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that Grossman does not disclose translating mobile feature information into information that is readable by the land line element, transmitting the translated mobile feature information that is readable by the land line element to the land element or implementing a mobile feature in a land line element using translated mobile feature information of the present invention as found

Serial No. 09/941,274  
Bondy et al  
Case No. CE08591R

in claims 1-30. Applicants therefore submit that the claims are not anticipated by Grossman. Applicants respectfully request that the rejection under Section 102(e) be withdrawn.

As the Applicants have overcome all substantive rejections and objections given by the Examiner and have complied with all requests properly presented by the Examiner, the Applicants contend that this Amendment, with the above discussion, overcomes the Examiner's objections to and rejections of the pending claims. Therefore, the Applicants respectfully solicit allowance of the application. If the Examiner is of the opinion that any issues regarding the status of the claims remain after this response, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned representative to expedite resolution of the matter.

Please charge any fees associated herewith, including extension of time fees, to 50-2117.


Respectfully submitted,  
Bondy, William M. et al.

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Motorola, Inc.  
Law Department

Customer Number: 22917

By: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Jeffrey K. Jacobs  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No.: 44,918  
Telephone: 847-576-4234  
Fax: 847-576-3750